UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Gordon Simmons and Siegelinde Simmons

V. Service Credit Union Case No. 17-cv-159-PB

ORDER

Gordon Simmons sued his former employer, Service Credit
Union (SCU), in state court for breach of contract and a
declaratory judgment. SCU removed the case to this court,
contending that Simmons' claims were completely preempted by the
Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA).
Simmons filed a motion to remand, which I denied in an earlier
order. Doc. 22.

Simmons then filed an amended complaint, in which he alleged three claims under ERISA, but also restated his three state law claims from his original complaint. Doc. 24. SCU now moves to dismiss these three state law claims, claiming that they are preempted by ERISA. For the reasons stated in my previous order, Doc. 22, I agree that the state law claims are

¹ Siegelinde Simmons, Gordon's wife when he entered into his employment contract, has an identical claim.

preempted by ERISA. Therefore I dismiss the following counts of the amended complaint: count four, "Declaratory Judgment"; count five, "Breach of Contract"; and count six, "Breach of Contract." SO ORDERED.

/s/Paul Barbadoro Paul Barbadoro United States District Judge

June 11, 2018

cc: Debra Weiss Ford, Esq.
Eileen P. Kavanagh, Esq.
Paul McEachern, Esq.
Bethany P. Minich, Esq.
K. Joshua Scott, Esq.
Martha Van Oot, Esq.